## DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION COMMISSION COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION COMMIS

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Implementation of the Non-Accounting	)	CC Docket No. 96-149
Safeguards of Sections 271 and 272 of the	)	
Communications Act of 1934, as amended	)	
	)	

## **FURTHER REPLY COMMENTS**

Teleport Communications Group Inc. ("TCG") hereby submits its Reply Comments in response to the Commission's Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("FNPRM") in the captioned proceeding.

A number of parties have concurred with TCG's position that reporting requirements adopted in this proceeding must provide data related to service intervals for the provisioning of telephone exchange service, in addition to exchange access. Those parties opposing such a requirement fail to explain how their conclusion is consistent with the plain language of Section 272(e)(1), which provides that both telephone exchange and exchange access service must be provided by the RBOC to non-affiliated entities within a period of time that is no longer than that in which such service is provided to the RBOC or its affiliate. 1/2 To abide by the plain language of the statute and allow unaffiliated entities the only objective way to measure whether RBOCs are meeting their statutory

No. of Copies rec'd\_ List ABCDE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>/ See 47 U.S.C. § 272(e)(1).

requirements, it is essential that RBOC activity with regard to the provisioning of telephone exchange services be monitored through disaggregated, quarterly reports.

Clearly, the plain language of the statute requires that the reporting requirements imposed include categories related to telephone exchange service. 2/ Moreover, the intent of sections 271 and 272 is to help eliminate the opportunity for discriminatory treatment, which could affect carriers providing either telephone exchange service or exchange access. As AT&T correctly observed, "[a] BOC could use the discriminatory provision of local exchange services and facilities as a way to impede interLATA competition by carriers that seek to provide packages of local and long distance services." 3/ Consistent with this finding, Sprint has also proposed that RBOCs be required to report service category results for resold services and unbundled network elements. 4/ If the purpose of Section 272(e)(1) — nondiscriminatory treatment of non-affiliated entities with respect to service requests — is to be satisfied, then the reporting requirements must cover more than a few broad categories related to exchange access. Service intervals for telephone exchange access categories must be included.

However, Ameritech claims that TCG's proposal should not be considered and that "this docket is limited to requirements necessary to implement the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>/ See AT&T at 11-12; MCl at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3/</sup> AT&T at 13.

<sup>4</sup> Sprint at 2-3.

exchange access service interval requirements of Section 272(e)(1)."<sup>5</sup>/ Based on the plain language of Section 272(e)(1), this simply is untrue. The phrase "telephone exchange service and exchange access" cannot be read to mean only exchange access. Such a practice would be wholly contrary to statutory construction and would fail to provide non-affiliated entities with the protection from discriminatory provisioning of services that Congress saw fit to enact. The combined reporting formats proposed by TCG and AT&T provide the only practical way for competing parties to monitor whether the provision of such services meets the nondiscriminatory standard set forth in Section 272(e)(1). Significantly, these parties are dependent on the RBOCs for providing telephone exchange service and exchange access.

Moreover, these reporting requirements will not serve their purpose unless they provide information on a disaggregated basis. The reporting requirements will not fulfill their intended purpose of ensuring compliance with the nondiscrimination requirement unless the information is kept separately for the RBOC and any affiliates. TCG disagrees with Pacific Telesis that such reports will be costly to produce or will provide "competitively sensitive marketing intelligence." First, assuming that Pacific Telesis is correct in its claim that much of the information is available in other sources, such information also could be entered into the required reports. Second, TCG disagrees that sensitive marketing information would be

<sup>5/</sup> Ameritech at 2; see also Pacific Telesis at 14.

ger See Pacific Telesis at 14.

revealed with respect to the RBOC affiliate. TCG's reports require only that information necessary to compare whether non-discriminatory service intervals is available to both affiliated and non-affiliated entities.

Other RBOCs also object to providing service interval data on a disaggregated basis. 2/ Ameritech erroneously claims that information on an averaged basis will provide sufficient indication of whether non-affiliated entities are receiving inferior service. However, as TCG has stated, averaging the service intervals for the RBOCs and their affiliates will skew results to mask discriminatory treatment. 9/ As AT&T explains, by providing this information on a consolidated basis, the RBOC could place its most profitable customers with the affiliate, which would receive superior service, and retain captive customers with the RBOC. If the RBOC is permitted to average the service intervals among itself and its affiliates, it can disguise the fact that an affiliate is receiving service superior to a competing, unaffiliated entity. As long as the unaffiliated entity receives service equal to the average, no violation will be apparent, and the affiliate would receive a competitive advantage that is undetectable in the reports. Such reports would be useless. Therefore, the service interval and provisioning reports must be provided on a disaggregated basis.

Section 272(e)(1) requires the nondiscriminatory provisioning of telephone exchange service and exchange access to unaffiliated entities by an RBOC or its

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>/<sub>See</sub> Ameritech at 12-14; BellSouth at 4-5; SBC at 8-9.

See also AT&T at 18-20; Sprint at 2-3.

affiliates. Therefore, TCG urges the Commission to adopt in full TCG's reporting proposal, which would be used in conjunction with the AT&T proposed report format. The information for both reports should be made available on a disaggregated, exchange area basis so that interested parties can analyze the information provided and bring any allegations of discriminatory behavior to the Commission's attention.

Respectfully submitted,

TELEPORT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP INC.

Teresa Marrero

Senior Regulatory Counsel - Federal

Two Teleport Drive

Staten Island, N.Y. 10311

(718) 355-2939

Its Attorney

Of Counsel:

J. Manning Lee Vice President, Regulatory Affairs 718-355-2671

Dated: March 21, 1997

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Dottie E. Holman, do hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Further Reply Comments of Teleport Communications Group Inc. was delivered, via hand-delivery and first-class mail as indicated, this 21st day of March, 1997 to the following:

William F. Caton\*
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

Janice Myles\*
Common Carrier Bureau
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 544
Washington, D.C. 20554

ITS\*
2100 M Street, N.W.
Suite 140
Washington, D.C. 20037

Ameritech
Gary L. Phillips
1401 H Street, N.W.
Suite 1020
Washington, D.C. 20005

Association for Local Local Telecom. Services Richard J. Metzger 1200 19th Street, N.W. Suite 560 Washington, D.C. 20036 Association of Telemessaging Services International Frank Moore Smith, Bucklin & Assocs. Government Affairs Division 1200 19th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Bell Atlantic Telephone
Companies & Bell Atlantic
Communications, Inc.
Edward Shakin
1320 North Court House Rd.
Eighth Floor
Arlington, VA 22201

BellSouth Corporation Walter H. Alford 1155 Peachtree Street, NE Suite 1800 Atlanta, GA 30309-2641

BellSouth Corporation David G. Frolio 1133 21st Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

California Cable Television Association Lesla Lehtonen 4341 Piedmont Avenue P.O. Box 11080 Oakland, California 94611 California Cable Television
Association
Donna N. Lampert
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, et al.
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20004

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California Peter Arth, Jr. 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Thomas K. Crowe Law Offices of Thomas K. Crowe, P.C. 2300 M Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20037

Competitive Telecommunications
Association
Danny E. Adams
Kelley Drye & Warren
1200 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036

Excel Telecommunications, Inc.
Thomas K. Crowe
Law Offices of Thomas K.
Crowe, P.C.
2300 M Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20037

Frontier Corporation Michael J. Shortley, III 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646 Information Industry Assn.
Daniel C. Duncan
Government Relations
1625 Massachusetts Ave., NW
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20036

Information Technology
Association of America
Joseph P. Markoski
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
P.O. Box 407
Washington, D.C. 20044

Independent Data
Communications Mfg. Assn.
Herbert Marks
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
P.O. Box 407
Washington, D.C. 20044

Interactive Services Assn.
Edwin N. Lavergne
Ginsburg, Feldman et al.
1250 Connecticut Ave., NW
Washington, D.C. 20036

LLDS Worldcom
Peter A. Rohrbach
Linda L. Oliver
Hogan & Hartson
555 30th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004

Worldcom, Inc. d/b/a LDDS WorldCom Catherine R. Sloan Suite 400 1120 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20036 MFS Communications Company Andrew D. Lipman Swidler & Berlin 3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20007

Michigan Public Service Comm. William J. Celio 6545 Mercantile Way Lansing, MI 48910

Missouri Public Service Comm. Eric Witte P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

National Assn. of Regulatory Utility Commissioners Charles D. Gray 1201 Constitution Avenue Suite 1102 P.O. Box 684 Washington, D.C. 20044

National Cable Television Association Daniel L. Brenner Neal M. Goldberg David L. Nicoll 1724 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

State of New Jersey Division of the Ratepayer Advocate
Jonathan Askin, Esq.
Assistant Deputy Public Advocate
P.O. Box 46005
Newark, New Jersey 07101

State of New York Department of Public Service Mary E. Burgess, Assistant Counsel Three Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223-1350 NYNEX Corporation
Saul Fisher
Donald C. Rowe
1111 Westchester Avenue
White Plains, New York 10604

Pacific Telesis Group
Marlin D. Ard
Lucille M. Mates
John W. Bogy
Patricia L.C. Mahoney
Jeffrey B. Thomas
140 New Montgomery St., Rm. 1529
San Francisco, California 94105

SBC Communications, Inc. James D. Ellis Robert M. Lynch David F. Brown One Bell Center, Rm. 3536 St. Louis, Missouri 63101

Sprint Corporation Norina T. Moy 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1110 Washington, D.C. 20036

The Telecommunications Industry Assoc.
Phillip L. Verveer
John L. McGrew
Wilkie Farr & Gallagher
Three Lafayette Centre
1155 21st Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Telecommunications Resellers Assoc. Charles C. Hunter Catherine M. Hannan Hunter & Mow, P.C. 1620 I Street, N.W. Suite 701 Washington, D.C. 20006 Telefónica Larga Distancia de Puerto Rico Alfred M. Mamlet Steptoe & Johnson LLP 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-1795

Tine Warner Cable
Brian Conboy
Sue D. Blumenfeld
Michael G. Jones
Gunnar D. Halley
Wilkie Farr & Gallagher
Three Lafayette Centre
1155 21st Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

USTA
Mary McDermott
Linda Kent
Charles D. Cosson
Keith Townsend
1401 H Street, N.W.
Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005

U S West, Inc.
Robert B. McKenna
Richard A. Karre
Gregory L. Cannon
Sondra J. Tomlinson
Suite 700, 1020 19th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Voice-Tel Ruth S. Baker-Battist 5600 Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1007 Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815 Yellow Pages Publisher Assoc.
Albert Halprin
Joel Bernstein
Randall Cook
Halprin, Temple, Goodman and
Sugrue
1100 New York Ave., N.W.
Suite 650E
Washington, D.C. 20005

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin Cheryl L. Parrino 610 N. Whitney Way P.O. Box 7854 Madison, WI 53707-7854

Owens & Minor, Inc.
Jim Radar
4800 Cox Road
P.O. Box 27626
Richmond, VA 23261-7626

Independent Telephone & Telecommunications
Alliance
Diane Smith
ALLTEL Corporate Services
655 15th Street, N.W.
Suite 220
Washington, D.C. 20005

Southern New England
Telephone Company
Rodney L. Joyce
Ginsburg, Feldman et al.
1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20036

Southern New England Telephone Company Madelyn M. DeMatteo 227 Church Street New Haven, CT 06506 Economic Strategy Institute Dr. Lawrence Chimerine 1401 H Street, N.W. Suite 750 Washington, D.C. 20005

Commercial Internet Exchange Association Ronald L. Plesser Piper & Marbury 1200 19th Street, N.W. Seventh Floor Washington, D.C. 20036

Citizens for a Sound Economy Foundation Wayne A. Leighton, Ph.D. 1250 H Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20005

Association of Directory Publishers
Theodore Case Whitehouse
Michael F. Finn
Wilkie Farr & Gallagher
Three Lafayette Centre
1155 21st Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-3384

CentraHealth
LaDonna W. Shedor, Director & CIO
1920 Atherholt Road
Lynchburg, Virginia 24501-1104

National Telephone Cooperative Assoc. David Cosson L. Marie Guillory 2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

MCI Telecommunications Corp. Frank W. Krogh Donald J. Elardo 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

GTE
Gail L. Polivy
1850 M Street, N.W.
Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20036

Citizens Utilities Company Richard M. Tettlebaum Suite 500 1400 16th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

AT&T Corp.

Mark C. Rosenblum
Leonard J. Cali
295 North Maple Avenue
Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

<sup>\*</sup>hand delivery